STATE OF SO	UTH CAROLINA)				
(Caption of Cas	se))) BEFORE THE) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION) OF SOUTH CAROLINA			
IN RE:)				
Plantation Utilit	e of Regulatory Staff lies, Inc.'s Collection and Unapproved Rates	nd Charging of	COVER SHEET DOCKET			
Ondathonized an	ia chappiotea hates)) DOCKET) NUMBER: 2006 - 327 - W/S			
)	NONIDER.	2000		
)				
)				
(Please type or print)					
Submitted by:	Richard L. Whitt		SC Bar Number: 62895			
Address:	Austin & Rogers,	P.A.	Telephone:	803-251-7442	803-251-7442	
	508 Hampton Str	eet, Suite 300	Fax:	803-252-3679		
	Columbia, South	Carolina 29201	Other:	803-256-4000		
Exercise South Co., No.				@alrlaw.com	fulgadings or other papers	
NOTE: The cover s	sheet and information co	ontained herein neither replaces roor use by the Public Service Con	or supplements tr	Carolina for the puri	oose of docketing and must	
be filled out comple		or use by the rubble service con	minission of South	Carolina for the part	Jose of doctoring and	
		OCKETING INFOR	MATION	heck all that apply	2)	
Other: Rout		NATU	RE OF ACTION	ON (Check all tha	t apply)	
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000				
Electric		Affidavit of Publication	☐ Letter	15	Request	
☐ Electric/Gas		Agreement	Memorano	ium	Request for Certificatio	
☐ Electric/Teleco	mmunications	Answer	Motion		Request for Investigation	
☐ Electric/Water		Appellate Review	Objection		Resale Agreement	
Electric/Water/	Telecom.	Application	Petition		Resale Amendment	
☐ Electric/Water/	Sewer	☐ Brief	Petition fo	r Reconsideration	Reservation Letter	
Gas		Certification of Mailing	Petition fo	r Rulemaking	Response	
Railroad		Comments	Petition for	Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery	
⊠ Sewer		Complaint	Petition to	Intervene	Return to Petition	
Telecommunic	eations	Consent Order	Petition to	Intervene Out of Time	Stipulation	
☐ Transportation		Discovery	Prefiled T	estimony	Subpoena	
Water		Exhibit	Promotion	1	☐ Tariff	
☐ Water/Sewer		Expedited Consideration	Proposed	Order	Other:	
Administrative Matter		Interconnection Agreement	Protest			
Other:		Interconnection Amendmen	t Dublisher'	s Affidavit		
		Late-Filed Exhibit "6"	Report			
		parties and the control of the contr				

Austin & Rogers, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

WILLIAM FREDERICK AUSTIN TIMOTHY F. ROGERS RAYMON E. LARK, JR. RICHARD L. WHITT

JEFFERSON D. GRIFFITH, III* EDWARD L. EUBANKS W. MICHAEL DUNCAN

* ALSO MEMBER NORTH CAROLINA BAR

+ ALSO MEMBER VIRGINIA BAR

COLUMBIA OFFICE:

CONGAREE BUILDING 508 HAMPTON STREET, SUITE 300 POST OFFICE BOX 11716

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 TELEPHONE: (803) 256-4000 FACSIMILE: (803) 252-3679 WWW.ALRLAW.COM WINNSBORO OFFICE:

120 NORTH CONGRESS STREET POST OFFICE BOX 1061 WINNSBORO, SOUTH CAROLINA 29180

TELEPHONE: (803) 712-9900 FACSIMILE: (803) 712-9901

November 19, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Charles L. A. Terreni Chief Clerk and Administrator The Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE:

- Petition of Office of Regulatory Staff Regarding Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc.'s Collection and Charging of Unauthorized and Unapproved Rates
- Docket No. 2006-327-W/S
- Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mark S. Wrigley with Exhibits

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing is the Direct Prefiled Testimony of Mark S. Wrigley with Exhibits on behalf of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. in the above referenced Docket. ORS' Counsel of Record is being served with this filing.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/

Richard L. Whit

RLW/kjw

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

1 2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
3 4	Α.	My name is Mark S. Wrigley, and my business address is 19 Broad
5		Street, Sumter, South Carolina 29151. I am Chief Executive Officer of Wyboo
6		Plantation Utilities, Inc. ("Wyboo").
7		
8 9 10	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE
11	Α.	I am the owner and President of Wrigley & Associates, Inc. Wrigley and
12		Associates, Inc. which was formed by my father in the early seventies for the
13		purpose of helping small communities, shopping centers, and trailer parks provide
14		water and wastewater services to their customers. I began to work for Wrigley and
15		Associates in the late seventies as half-owner and Vice President, and became the
16		sole owner and President in 1995. I have 30 years experience in the water and
17		wastewater field. I have over 20 years experience in the environmental treatment
18		area and two years teaching experience in the same area. I hold Class "A" South
19		Carolina certifications for both water and wastewater system operations.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
22		
23	A.	My testimony responds generally to the allegations raised by the South Carolina
24		Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") in this Docket. Specifically, my testimony
25		responds to the testimony and Exhibits of Mr. Willie Morgan filed on behalf of
26		ORS.
27		
28 29 30 31	Q.	DID ANY OF WYBOO'S CUSTOMERS INTERVENE DURING THE INTERVENTION PERIOD ALLOWED BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA ("PSCSC") OR FILE A PROTEST?
32	A.	No.

32 33

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

1 2	Q.	WHAT INFERENCE DO YOU THINK COULD BE FAIRLY DRAWN FROM THAT LACK OF INTERVENTION/PROTEST?
3 4	A.	I believe that, as of the date of this testimony, Wyboo's customers have (i) received
5		a refund/credit of monies owed, (ii) have filed a waiver and relinquishment of any
6		claim for the return of water tap fees paid to Wyboo or (iii) do not wish to make a
7		claim to the PSCSC for the return of the water tap fees paid, because they received
8		the water tap fee and the economic value thereof.
9		
10 11 12 13	Q.	ISN'T IT TRUE THAT SOME CUSTOMERS HAVE NOT FILED A WAIVER/RELINQUISHMENT OF CLAIM FORM WITH YOUR COMPANY? IF THAT IS TRUE, HOW DOES WYBOO PLAN TO DEAL WITH THOSE POSSIBLE CLAIMS?
15	A.	Yes. However, Wyboo filed a Motion with the PSCSC on November 7, 2008,
16		entitled "Motion for Approval of Water Tap Fee to Conform to Existing Rate, Nunc
17		Pro Tunc", to deal with those claims. The Motion, if granted, would conform the
18		previous water tap fees charged by Wyboo to the existing rates approved by the
19		PSCSC in Order Number 2007-138, issued in Docket Number 2005-13-WS, issued
20		on February 26, 2007. The water tap fee approved was: \$825 for 3/4 inch
21		connection, \$965 for a 1 inch connection, and \$1,145 for a 2 inch connection. The
22		water tap fees charged by Wyboo prior to Order, were considerably less than the
23		minimum approved water tap fee of \$825.
24		
25 26 27	Q.	YOU INDICATED THAT REFUNDS AND/OR CREDITS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED TO WYBOO'S CUSTOMERS. PLEASE EXPLAIN.
28	A.	Yes. See my Exhibits "MSW-1" and "MSW-2".
29		
30		
31		
32		

33

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

1 2 3	Q.	HAVE ALL CUSTOMERS DEPICTED ON MR. MORGAN'S EXHIBIT "WJM-1" BEEN ADDRESSED BY WYBOO?
4	A.	Yes. My Exhibit "MSW-1" addresses every customer, name and charge listed on
5		Mr. Morgan's Exhibit "WJM-1". The corrective action taken by Wyboo is indicated
6		in the right hand column, entitled, "Trace Refund/Credit".
7		
8 9 10	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE HOW WYBOO HAS ADDRESSED THE "CHARGE CATEGORIES" LISTED ON EXHIBIT "WJM-1".
11	Α.	Please see my Exhibit "MSW-2". Specifically, of the eleven charge categories listed
12		on WJM-1, nine have been reduced to \$0.00, with Water Tap Fees remaining at
13		\$26,300 and Sewer Tap Fee Total Overcharge remaining at \$750.
14		
15 16 17	Q.	WHAT EXPLANATION DO YOU HAVE FOR WYBOO'S CHARGING UNAUTHORIZED RATES/CHARGES?
18	A.	I was informed by the previous owner of Wyboo that a water tap fee had been
19		approved by the PSCSC. Other errors were made because of a lack of knowledge of
20		how the PSCSC rules and regulations operated. No one was intentionally over
21		charged.
22 23 24 25 26 27	Q.	DO YOU THINK THAT IT IS FAIR FOR THE ORS TO ATTEMPT TO RECOVER ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES INSTALLED ON YOUR SYSTEM DURING THE TIME FRAME INDICATED ON MR. MORGAN'S EXHIBIT "WJM-1"? PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER.
28	A.	I do not think it is fair for ORS to attempt to recover one-hundred percent of the
29		water tap fees installed on Wyboo's system. Every water customer who was
30		charged a water tap fee, received the economic value and use of the water tap and
31		the "benefit of the bargain", in that the water tap fees charged prior to Order (\$300
32		or \$450) were materially less than the water tap fee approved by this Commission,
33		in 2007, of at least \$825. Also, the water taps were installed by Wyboo upon the
34		specific request of each water customer.

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

1 2 3 4	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION ON ANY REQUIREMENT THAT WYBOO REFUND ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES CHARGED PRIOR TO ORDER.
5	A.	Any requirement that Wyboo retroactively refund 100 percent of the water tap fees
6		charged by Wyboo, would be (i) unfair and unjust (ii) be a substantial economic
7		burden for Wyboo and would possibly bankrupt Wyboo's utility operations (iii) be
8		an inappropriate "windfall" for each water customer (iv) severely hamper the
9		utilities to provide adequate service to all of its utility customers and (v) be
10		contrary to the mission statement of the Public Service Commission of South
11		Carolina to balance the interests of the consumer and the utility. Any requirement
12		by this Commission that Wyboos retroactively refund 100 percent of the water tap
13		fees previously charged would not represent the required balance of interests.
14		
15 16 17 18	Q.	WHAT EVIDENCE SHOULD THE PSCSC CONSIDER CONCERNING THE REFUND OF ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES CHARGED PRIOR TO ORDER?
19	Α.	This Commission's recent approval, in 2007, of a water tap fee for Wyboo of at
20		least \$825, as explained in more detail hereinabove, is the best evidence that the
21		water tap fees charged by Wyboo prior to Order were (i) installed at the water
22		customer's specific request and utilized by the water customer to receive water
23		service from Wyboo, (ii) fair, (iii) appropriate, (iv) materially less than the water
24		customer would otherwise have paid for a water tap fee (v) conforming with the
25		Commission's presently approved water tap fee for Wyboo and (vi) an appropriate
26		and reasonable basis upon which this Commission may approve a conforming water
27		tap fee for Wyboo, nunc pro tunc.

29 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

30 A. Yes it does.

28